

#### TAKING COOPERATION FORWARD

Final Conference 23 February 2021

#### Energy Efficient Public Buildings in Central Europe - eCentral project

REGEA I EASt I Energiaklub

### **CONTENTS**

Y



Facts & Figures Project Partnership	Project relevance Main and Specific objectives	Results - Tools and guides	Results - Capacity building
Results - Pilot actions	Conclusions and Lessons learned		
		– TAKING <b>COOPERATI</b>	ON FORWARD



eCentral project - ID card

- Full name Energy Efficient Public Buildings in Central Europe
- Funded by the INTERREG Central Europe programme Priority: Low carbon cities and regions
- Key issue: buildings represent almost 40% of the EU final energy consumption
- Project addressed both Energy Efficiency Directive EED and Energy Performance Building Directive - EPBD
- Project duration: 1.9.2017. 28.2.2021.
- ...Back in 2017



#### **KICK OFF MEETING**









### The U.S. Is the Biggest Carbon Polluter in History. It Just Walked Away From the Paris Climate Deal.

By JUSTIN GILLIS and NADJA POPOVICH JUNE 1, 2017





Developed economies

## INTRODUCTION



- The World constantly seems to be in energy and climate crisis but is equally dedicated to resilience and finding solutions to these challenges with EU and its public authorities as the leaders
- eCentral was conceived based on the idea that energy renovation targets are not ambitious enough nearly zero energy building standard was made just for construction of new building
- Question: what about existing buildings? Can they reach nZEB standards?
- Being ahead of time and unpopular: today, the Renovation Wave is the centerpiece of both the Green Deal and the Recovery Plan for Europe
- Let's see what we have accomplished in these three years..







Yet another soft EU project.. 🙄

#### eCentral success indicators:

- Pilot actions created **1,7 mil EUR** of direct investments
- Developed project documentation for investments in public buildings worth 19 mil EUR
- 38 Feasibility studies created a pipeline of energy renovation projects worth 20,5 mil EUR with estimated primary energy savings of 9.843 MWh and 1.447 t/a of avoided CO2 emissions
- 15 strategic local and regional documents for sustainable energy planning



### FACTS & FIGURES





## **PROJECT PARTNERSHIP**

# CENTRAL EUROPE

#### Lead partner:

- North-West Croatia Regional Energy Agency (REGEA) - CRO
   Project partners:
- Energy Agency of Savinjska,
   Šaleška and Koroška Region
   (KSSENA) SI
- Energy Agency of Styria (EASt) AT
- Energiaklub Climate Policy Institute applied Communication (Energiaklub) - HU
- City of Sveta Nedelja (Sveta Nedelja) - CRO
- Municipality of Velenje (MOV) SI
- Municipality of 18th district of Budapest (BP18) - HU
- European Academy of Bolzano (EURAC Research) - IT



## **PROJECT RELEVANCE**



- Focus on two key elements:
- Motivating public authorities to aim for more ambitious energy renovation standards of buildings - nearly zero energy buildings
- Innovative financing models energy renovation rates have to increase and public funding is insufficient for reaching of these targets.
- Project tested applicability of three innovative financing models in pilot regions:
  - > Public-Private Partnership Croatia
  - Energy Performance Contracting Hungary
  - > Crowdfunding Slovenia



## **OBJECTIVES & TARGET GROUPS**





#### Target groups

Local, regional & national public authorities, sectoral agencies, utility companies, universities, faculties, institutes, interest groups incl. NGOs, financial institutions, EE/RES equipment manufacturers, ESCOs, citizens, energy cooperatives, crowdfunding platform operators



## **INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS**



#### eCentral's innovative approach

- The nearly Zero-Energy Building standard required a new philosophy that focuses more on the energy flows in the building and required a more dynamic and holistic approach in all topics
- Activities were designed according to a user-driven model, meaning they
  were adapted to the target groups capacity and knowledge levels and took
  into consideration specific legal, administrative, financial barriers and
  priorities of each region



## **OUTPUTS AND RESULTS**





#### eCentral tools



EPC tool and database (online) nZEB living lab (online)

Step-by-Step-Guide on how to turn public buildings into nZEBs

Decision support tool about nZEB renovation with innovative financing





- A complex interactive web based tool that offers different combinations of cost-optimal measures for reaching nZEB requirements
- Target group: public authorities in partner regions Croatia, Hungary and Slovenia - available in national languages and national nZEB standard
- To be used for creating a pipeline of nZEB projects beyond eCentral project duration
- enables a better insight into state of local/regional building stock and renovation potentials





### Living EPC Tool





- Background-calculation methodology based on seasonal method of ISO 13790
- Input data for the tool = info on energy performance certificates (EPC) and acompanying report (help users to adequately read/interpret the information on EPCs)
- For unknown parameters: set of predefined values available
- Accuracy of results depends on accuracy of input data!

TAKING COOPERATION FORWARD



Ľ,













- □ 274.000 m<sup>2</sup> net heated area
- IT 200 MWh calculated potential energy savings per year



### **EPC tool Tour**





TAKING COOPERATION FORWARD

### **EPC tool tour**



#### Front page - Map





TAKING COOPERATION FORWARD

### **EPC tool structure**



BASIC INFO	BASIC INFO EC	ENERGY CONSUMPTION	BUILDING PARTS	VENTILATION	TERMOTECHNICAL SYSTEMS	LIGHTING	CURRENT BUILDING STATE	CALCULATION OPTIONS
NOTES NZ	- ZEB							

#### Divided into 11 tabs:

- (1) Basic info (name, address, ....)
- (2) Basic info EC (energy class, m<sup>2</sup>, net heated area, users....)
- (3) Energy consumption (not a compulsory data to enter)
- (4) Building parts (walls, windows, roofs..)
  - (5) Ventilation (if available, heat recovery, ...)
  - (6) **Thermotechnical systems** (heating, domestic hot water, cooling,...)
- (7) Lighting (energy demand, type of bulbs, ...)
  - (8) Current building state (overview on entered data)
- + (9) Calculation options (Place for editing subsidies, prices of renovation measures, etc.)
   (10) Notes

(11) <u>nZEB calculation results</u>

TAKING COOPERATION FORWARD

Unknown data are suggested by tool

#### **Results I**



#### NZEB compliant measures

- (1) Here, all possible combination of measures for achieving the nZEB standard in accordance with the current legislation are presented
- (2) Results are presented through <u>financial</u> and <u>energy savings</u> perspective

NZEB compli	ant measure	9S													
Show 10 🗸 en	triës						NZEB G	ompliant mea	sures						
	Financial res	ults			Energy	savings res	ults						Permitted	values	
Measures	Tota) investment [€]	Simple paybaok period(year)	Total investment with subsidies (€)	Simple payback deriod with subsidles[year]	New E <sub>pym</sub> [kWh]	New Q <sub>Hms</sub> [kWb]	E <sub>porr</sub> Savings (%)	Q <sub>HTC</sub> Savings [96]	New E <sub>prim</sub> [kWb/m <sup>2</sup> ]	New QH,mi [kWh/m²]	Renewable energy [%]	COg emissions reduction [tryear]	E <sub>prim</sub> permiitea [kWh/m²]	Q <sub>rtre</sub> permitted [kWb/m <sup>2</sup> ]	Needed renewable energy percentage (%)

- Possible nZEB measures:
  - Thermal insultation of wall, change of windows and doors
  - Change of heating system
  - Installation of renewable energies on-site, etc.



**Results II** 

NZEB non-compliant measures



#### NZEB non-compliant measures

If the tool cannot estimate nZEB potential- user will receive information about renovation measures which is possible to implement in order to achieve certain level of energy savings

Show 10 🗸 entries												
							NZEB non-con	npliant measu	ires			
	Financial res	oults			Energy s	avings result	5					
Measures	Total investment (ई)	Simple payback period(year)	Total investment with subsidies [€]	Simple bayback period with subsidies[year]	New E <sub>prim</sub> [kWb]	New G <sub>H.hu</sub> [kWb]	E <sub>prim</sub> Savings [%]	Q <sub>Hbril</sub> Savings [%]	New E <sub>per</sub> [kW/n/m²]	New Q <sub>H rel</sub> [kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ]	Renewable energy (%)	CO2 emissions reduction (t/year]

Disclaimer: the estimations made by this tool are based on a simplified seasonal method for heating energy needs and therefore the results are just general guides for renovation. For more accurate and realistic results you should consult an architect and/or mechanical engineer.



#### **Results III**



#### Benchmarking

- Comparison of multiple selected buildings
- Extracting a report for selected buildings

														English (en)	REGEA	-
Benchmarking																
Filters																Ì
ADD FLITER																
Buildings 🖗																
											1001			Search	Banchmanin	a
Basic Info			Measures sur	nmary					Deticution	g (perore removal	and the second s				a strivitina inter	
Basic Info	Suilding typology	Totai net neated area [m²]	Savings [kv/h]	Primary energy sawings [k/vn]	CO2 emission reduction [t/year]	Savings (E)	investment [E]	Simple payback perioo [j.ear]	Thermal energy consumption [kWhim <sup>2</sup> ]	Electricity consumption [kWh/m <sup>2</sup> ]	Water consumption [m <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> ]	Thermal energy consumption [kWhyuser]	Electricity consumption [k/\m/user]	Water consumption [kWs/user]	Thermal energy scneumption [cv/ivm <sup>2</sup> ]	
Basic Info Name Nova agrada	Building typology Bports Pacifities	Total net heatep area (m <sup>2</sup> ) 0.00	Savings (kV/h)	Primary energy savings [k/vn] 0.00	CO <sub>2</sub> emission reduction [b]year] 0.00	Savings [€] 0.00	investment [E] 0.00	Simple payback perico [].ear] 0.00	Denchmarking Thermal energy consumption [kWm/m <sup>2</sup> ]	C.00	Water consumption [m <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> ] 0.00	Thermal energy consumption [kV/hsuser] 0.00	Electricity consumption [kVIn/user] 0.00	Water consumption [kWhsuser] 0.00	Thermal energy scheumption [cV/tym?]	
Basic Info Name Nove sprede New building	Suilding typology Bears Fecilities Swimming Pools	Totai net neated area (m <sup>2</sup> ) 0.00	Savings [cv/m] 0.00 0.00	Primary energy savings [kVin] 0.00 0.00	CO <sub>2</sub> emission reduction [byear] 0.00	Saulings [E] 0.00 0.00	investment [6] 0.00 0.00	Simple payback perioo ]rear] 0.00 0.00	Thermal energy consumption [kWhim <sup>2</sup> ] 0.00	Electricity consumption [K//him <sup>2</sup> ] 0.00	Water consumption [m <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> ] 0.00 0.00	Thermal energy consumption [kV/huser] 0.00 0.00	Electricity doneumption [kWh/user] 0.00 0.00	Water consumption [kWh/user] 0.00 0.00	Thermal energy sprisumption povision?]	
Basic info Name Nova gorsde New building New building	Building typology Sports Facilities Swimming Pools Swimming Pools	Total net heated area (m <sup>2</sup> ) 0.00 0.00	Measures sur Savings (kv/m) 0.00 0.00	Primary energy sawings [k/wt] 0.00 0.00	ICO2 emission reduction [b/year] 0.00 0.00	Savings [6] 0.00 0.00	investment [E] 0.00 0.00 0.00	Simple payback period [rear] 0.00 0.00	Thermal energy consumption [stynum <sup>2</sup> ] 0.00 0.00 0.00	Electricity consumption [KWhim?] 0.00 0.00	Water           consumption           [m²/m²]           0.00           0.00           0.00	Thermal energy consumption [k/hulser] 0.00 0.00 0.00	Electricity ocneumption gr/hiviser] 0.00 0.00 0.00	Water consumption (kWh/weer) 0.00 0.00	Thermal energy sonsumption (kvirvm?) 0.00 0.00 0.00	
Basic Info Name Nova sprada New building New building Elementary school Svarca	Building typology Boons Pacifides Bwinning Pools Swinning Pools Bducational Buildings	Total net insatigi area [m <sup>2</sup> ] 0.00 0.00 0.00 3.000.00	Savings (KVM) 0.00 0.00 0.00 225,116.38	Primary energy sawings, [k/w] 0.00 0.00 0.00 50,067.92	CO <sub>2</sub> emission reduction [V/ear] 0.00 0.00 13.77	Saungs [E] 0.00 0.00 0.00 15,419.61	investment [6] 0.00 0.00 0.00 425,000.00	Simple payback perico Desr[ 0.00 0.00 27.56	Elericalitativang Thermai energy consumption [s/Wham <sup>3</sup> ] 0.00 0.00 0.00 9.00 96.00	Electricati consumption [c/uhim <sup>2</sup> ] 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Viater consumption [m <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> ] 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.05	Thermal energy consumption [c/huuser] 0.00 0.00 0.00 795.58	Electricity) consumption grAnivaer] 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Water consumption RWh/seer] 0.00 0.00 0.41	Thermal energy poneumption polynom?] 0.00 0.00 0.00 27.37	
Basic Info Name Nove sprede Nove sprede New building Elementary school Sverce New building.	Building typology Boorts Fecilities Swimming Pools Balucational Buildings Swimming Pools	Totai net heated area (m <sup>2</sup> ) 0.00 0.00 0.00 3.000.00 0.00	Savings (cvm) 0.00 0.00 0.00 325,116.38 0.00	Primary energy savings [kvvn] 0.00 0.00 0.00 50,067.92 0.00	CO <sub>2</sub> emission reduction p/year] 0.00 0.00 13.77 0.00	Saungs (F) 0.00 0.00 0.00 15,419,81 0.00	Investment [E] 0.00 0.00 0.00 425,000.00 0.00	Simple paybask period [rear] 0.00 0.00 27.56 0.00	Thermal energy consumption [64/hum?] 0.00 0.00 9.00 9.00 9.00	Electricit) consumption [c//him <sup>2</sup> ] 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Water consumption [m <sup>2</sup> /m <sup>2</sup> ] 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.05 0.00	Thermal energy perception (k/hvuser) 0.00 0.00 0.00 795.58 0.00	Electricity ocneumption [phthUser] 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Water consumption p(Vhuser) 0.00 0.00 0.41 0.00	Thermal energy consumption polynom?] 0.00 0.00 0.00 27.37 0.00	
Basic Info Rame Nova tgrade New building Rementary school Skerce New building New building New building New building New building	Building typology Bears Feclifies Bwimming Pools Swimming Pools Buildings Bwimming Pools Bwimming Pools	Totai net heated mean neated (m <sup>2</sup> ) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Avesures our Savings [ph/h] -0.00 -0.00 -0.00 -0.00 -0.00 -0.00 -0.00 -0.00 -0.00	Primary energy savings. poving 0.00 0.00 0.00 50,067.92 0.00 0.00	DD <sub>2</sub> emission reduction [Vjean] 0.00 0.00 13.77 0.00 0.00	Saungs (E) 0.00 0.00 0.00 15,419,81 0.00 0.00	Investment (E) 0.00 0.00 0.00 425.000.00 0.00	Simple pajoack perico Desri 0.00 0.00 0.00 27.58 0.00 0.00	Thermal energy consumption 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Electricit) consumption (c//him?) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Water consumption [ph?(m?] 0.00 0.00 0.05 0.05 0.00 0.00	Thermal energy poneurgion (KVHvuser) 0.00 0.00 0.00 795.58 0.00 0.00	Electricity consumption (phhulaer] 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Water consumption pr/muser] 0.00 0.00 0.41 0.00 0.00	Thermal energy soneurotion povisim? 0.00 0.00 27.37 0.00 27.37	

#### **Results IV**



#### Benchmarking - Charts for selected buildings

1924			
fault 🔻			
ub view will show charts from measures summary, custom Will allow you to create various			
s or numeral.			
State State		Sector and a sector sector sector	
Energy savings [kWh]		Primary energy savings [kWh]	
300000.00			
		320000.00	*******************
280800.00			
260000.00		280000.00	
240800.00			
220080.88		240800.00	
289680.88			
186986.00	**************	20000.00	***********
160000.00			
140000.00		168888.88	
128989.88			
and the second		120800,00	
189809.88			
80000.00		80200.00	
60000.80			
40000,00		68,68664	-
20000.00			

TAKING COOPERATION FORWARD

#### eCentral tools



EPC tool and database (online)

#### nZEB living lab (online)

Step-by-Step-Guide on how to turn public buildings into nZEBs

Decision support tool about nZEB renovation with innovative financing



## NZEB living lab (online)



#### User-centred, web-based knowledge sharing platform



#### eCentral nZEB living lab http://nzeb.subant.com/

- Integrated research on nZEB standard, innovative financing and legislation
- Integrates the EPC tool
- enables networking activities through a virtual forum
- direct insight into experiences gained from our concrete pilot actions and project videos





#### eCentral tools



EPC tool and database (online) nZEB living lab (online)

Step-by-Step-Guide on how to turn public buildings into nZEBs

Decision support tool about nZEB renovation with innovative financing



TAKING COOPERATION FORWARD

## Step by stepy guide

- <u>5 national step-by-step guides + English</u> <u>version on how to turn public buildings</u>
- into nZEBs
- Objectives:
  - support important phases of renovation process
  - Information about national nZEB targets and innovative financing schemes and regulation on tender processes
  - Boost application of standardized processes managed by public knowhow



CENTRAL FUROPE

eCentral

www.interreg-central.eu/Content.Node/eCentral.html



29

### Step-by-step guide

- **Content:** 
  - Overview on 4 phases of renovation process and • necessary steps
  - Involved stakeholders in each step •



.

eCentral



- USERS People who use the building
- BUILDING EXPERTS TEAM Architecture, Design & Engineering team
- CONTRACTOR
- Building construction or Operation contractor
- LONSTRUCTION SUPERVISOR Building construction works supervisor
- 2 PRIVATE INVESTOR Single or multiple investors financing the project



#### eCentral tools



EPC tool and database (online) nZEB living lab (online)

Step-by-Step-Guide on how to turn public buildings into nZEBs

Decision support tool about nZEB renovation with innovative financing



## **Decision support tool**



- <u>English tool</u> on nZEB renovation with innovative financing schemes
- Suplements step-bystep-guide
- Objectives:
- Ĩ
- Provide understandable information about Public Private Partnership, Energy Performance Contracting and Crowdfunding
- Support building renovation phases
- Best practice examples

Abou	t this guide
n7FB	renovation and pZFB renovation measures
ILLO	
Innov	ative financing schemes
Decis	ion-making tree for innovative financing schemes
Phase	s of building renovation
Phase	1 - Planning
Phase	2 - Design
Phase	2 3 and 4 - Construction and operation
Best	practice examples

#### **Decision support tool**



#### BEST PRACTICE EXAMPLES

#### PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP (PPP)

The European PPP market is well documented. According to EPEC statistics, 1868 projects in different sectors with a total value of  $\in$  392.9 billion were closed in Europe since 1990. Some chosen best practice examples for PPP projects for public buildings are (partially in national languages):

- Renovation of 48 public buildings in Ljubijana (Slovenia)
- Educational building Berresgasse, Vienna (Austria)
- Educational building Gertrude Fröhlich-Sandner, Vienna (Austria)

#### ENERGY PERFORMANCE CONTRACTING (EPC)

EPC markets have also experienced a quite big growth in the past years. The causes are seen in the improvement of the legal situation, promotion and clarification of the definition. Some chosen best practice examples for EPC projects for public buildings are (in national languages):

- Geological Institute Munich (Germany)
- Nursing center Bad Radkersburg (Austria)
- 9 buildings of municipality Hude (Germany)

#### CROWDFUNDING (CF)

In Europe, CF gained more importance recently. From 2013 to 2017, the annual market volume increased from  $\in$  1.1 to  $\in$  10.4 billion. Some chosen best practice examples for CF for public infrastructure are (partially in national languages):

- Civic crowdfunding supported by City of Milan (Italy)
- Luchtsingel bridge in Rotterdam (The Netherlands)
- Public energy park with PV and wind power in Gladbeck (Germany)

More information on innovative financing schemes is available here.

#### ECENTRAL PILOT ACTIONS - STATUS 2021

#### PPP in Sveta Nedelja (Croatia)



A large two-building kindergarten was planned to be implemented through PPP model (design-build-maintain concept, project volume approx.  $\in$  4 miltion) in Sveta Nedelja. Due to changed circumstances, the project was downsized, and the existing kindergarten was enlarged. The enlarged annex was built in line with the nZEB standard- using high-efficient building materials and implementing RES systems. The new project volume of  $\in$  1.6 million wasn't very attractive to PPP investors. However, two more feasibility studies for evaluating the PPP approach in cities of Marija Bistrica and Stupnik were created. Implementation is expected to take place in the upcoming years.

#### EPC in 18th district of Budapest (Hungary)



Goal was to renovate Vackor Kindergarten to nZEB standard (expected volume € 560 000). Necessary measures would have been insulation of walls, roof, and ceiling as well as replacement of windows, installation of ventilation with heat recovery and 37 kWp photovoltaic system. Additionally, there have been static problems in the building. However, low energy prices cause low savings of energy costs compared to the necessary nZEB renovation investment. Therefore, it was decided not to proceed with further development of this pilot action. For future projects it is recommended to bundle several buildings to create an attractive investment package for ESCOs.

#### CF in Velenje (Slovenia)



The goal was to renovate an educational building in the city center (project volume approx.  $\in$  113 000). Implemented measures have been the modernization of interior lightning, roof insulation and installation of solar power plant to reach nZEB standard. Despite a very well-prepared crowdfunding campaign, it failed to reach the pledged amount of money ( $\notin$  10 000) to be raised. The current lack of relevant legal framework poses several limits on crowdfunding. In Slovenia there is only one platform for crowdfunding available. Nevertheless, it was the very first project in Slovenia and it paved the way for upcoming projects.

PILOT ACTIONS: CHECK OUT MORE DETAILS AND HIGH-QUALITY VIDEOS HERE!

### eCENTRAL IN PRACTICE



Trainings and support for LPAs to generate nZEB projects

Renovation roadmaps and feasibility studies Pilot projects: CR - PPP HU - EPC SL -Crowdfunding

#### TAKING COOPERATION FORWARD

## NZEB CURRICULUM + TRAININGS



Covering 4 topics Targeting LPAs and energy agencies

- EE policies and nZEB regulation
- nZEB technologies



- Innovative financing schemes for nZEB refurbishments
- How to prepare nZEB tenders







## NZEB TRAINING FACTS (02/2021)



13 training events



I18 participants

Country	Nr. of performed trainings	Nr. of participants	Nr. of LPAs attended	Sectorial agencies attended
Croatia	6	72	15	3
Slovenia	5	20	0	5
Hungary	2	26	20	1
	13	118	35	9



TAKING COOPERATION FORWARD

#### **ROADMAPS AND FEASIBILITY STUDES**



eCentral



#### **ROADMAP FOR PILOT ACTIONS**





#### PILOT EXPERIENCES WITH PPP - CROATIA



- Testing of PPP model for (re)construction of public buildings in accordance with nZEB standards
- Scope of pilot actions had to be expanded as investment was too small for application of any PPP model
- Three pilot buildings:
- Construction of new kindergarten in Sveta Nedelja to be completed in April 2021
- PPP documentation for construction of schools in municipalities of Marija Bistrica and Stupnik:
  - > Design-Build-Finance tender documentation was developed
  - Investments worth approximately 19 million EUR
  - > Above nZEB standard: 55 kWh/m2/a and 100% RES supply



#### **PILOT ACTIONS IN CROATIA**





## **PILOT EXPERIENCES EPC - HUNGARY**



- Testing of EPC models for reconstruction of public buildings in accordance with nZEB standards
- Scope of pilot actions had to be expanded as investment was not seen as cost-feasible by ESCOs
- Three pilot buildings:
- > Renovation of Vackor kindergarten
- > Renovation of two swimming pool and sports centre
- Traditional model for realization was selected due to:
- > Underdeveloped ESCO market, prolonged negotiations with ESCOs and lack of experience with development of EPC documentation
- Several months of downtime due to municipal elections

### **PILOT ACTIONS IN HUNGARY**





## PILOT EXPERIENCES CF - SLOVENIA



- Testing of crowdfunding models for reconstruction of Life-Long Learning centre in accordance with nZEB standards
- Total investment: 120.000 EUR
- The idea of crowdfunding for energy renovation projects was a novelty for public authorities as well as for citizens
- Slovenia lacks proper legislation for crowdfunding and has only one professional crowdfunding platform
- Despite a very well-prepared campaign, the campaign has not reached the pledged amount of money (10.000 EUR) but reactions from citizens were very positive
- Investment was realized with additional funding from the municipal budget

#### RENOVATION OF THE LIFE-LONG LEARNING CENTER





#### **CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED**



Tools and feasibility studies

#### Trainings

Piloting of financing models

#### TAKING COOPERATION FORWARD

45



- **Development of Tools and feasibility studies:** 
  - EPC Tool had to be developed with its future users checking their actual needs and how they can benefit from it was crucial
  - Central European countries have different nZEB calculation methodologies which makes development of a unified Tool difficult
  - Large number of public authorities do not possess energy certificates of their buildings or they are very obsolete - hard to make energy renovation roadmaps without good energy baselines - EPCs are missed investment opportunities
  - EPC Tool is an excellent starting point for making feasibility studies since nZEB can be reached with different combinations of EE/RES combinations for reaching nZEB





- **Development of Tools and feasibility studies:** 
  - Renovation of existing buildings in accordance with nZEB has proved to be a complex task and more expensive than standard renovation
  - Long term economic benefits (CO2 reduction, increase of comfort, extension of building's lifespan) must be monetized with different calculation methodology (economic vs financial analyses)
  - Key question: how will we achieve carbon neutrality with such high costs of renovation and low financial viability?
     nZEB/carbon neutrality cannot be reached for all buildings





#### Trainings:

- nZEB was a novelty in 2017 and to some extent still is for all major stakeholders dealing with (re)construction of buildings
- Architects and civil engineers often lacked capacity to develop technical documentation (feasibility studies and main designs) for reaching nZEB standard
- Lack of long term vision Public authorities do not see the point of reaching for nZEB standard/going beyond minimum energy saving requirements
- Know-how about innovative financing models in all three pilot countries was quite low and with traditional financing available (primarily large grants), interest from project developers is expected to remain low







- Testing of financing model Public-private partnership pilot action (PPP)
- PPP legislation in CE countries is mostly set but PPP projects are still missing even with solid track records in certain sectors
- Preparation of PPP is expensive, slow process and presents a huge risk for the public partner - potential sunk cost
- Strong political will from decision makers and general consensus among key stakeholders are needed
- Lack of standardized documentation and national financial support are major obstacles - national PPP programmes are necessary
- High minimum investment size makes PPP models suitable only for lager projects (+5 mil EUR) - bundling of smaller projects is needed
- eCentral's PPP documentation presents a good foundation for further development and replication of PPP models



- Testing of financing model Energy Performance Contracting (EPC)
- nZEB is very hard to reach with EPC model requires deep retrofitting which cannot be paid-back just from the energy savings
   grant support is needed
- Similar to PPP models, ESCOs are only interested in larger, bundled investments in order to make investments more cost-effective
- Good energy baseline information and info about general condition of building is needed - usually missing due to poor quality of EnPCs
- Low costs of energy are an issue for ESCOs in most Central European countries
- Good examples of national programmes and financial instruments for EPC models exist in certain CE countries (Italy, Slovenia, Austria) and should be replicated





- Testing of financing model Crowdfunding (CF)
- Extreme differences with market maturity between Central European countries
- Legal framework mostly prohibits public authorities from using crowdinvesting models, even though the interest for civic crowdfunding exists in all countries - a missed opportunity for establishment of participating democratic culture!
- Very low awareness levels of public authorities about crowdfunding in general or lack of trust/scepticism prevails
- Crowdfunding requires a different approach to project development process: involvement of citizens and complete transparency
- Sustainable energy projects show great potential for crowdinvesting models as they generate financial returns and have positive socioenvironmental effects

TAKING COOPERATION FORWA







Not yet another soft EU project!



#### Lasting legacy of the eCentral project:

- **1,7 mil EUR** of direct investments
- **19 mil EUR** worth investments for realization with PPP model
- **20,5 mil EUR** worth pipeline of energy renovation projects

eCentral = a different kind of a soft project, but different in a good way







#### Thank you for your attention!

hmaras@regea.org theresa.urbanz@ea-stmk.at pej@energiaklub.hu

TAKING COOPERATION FORWARD

