

TAKING COOPERATION FORWARD

FramWat Final Conference; 9 June

Steps toward application of N(S)WRM in the river basins

Guidelines to improve water balance and nutrient mitigation by applying system of N(S)WRMs

Sabina Bokal, Global Water Partnership Central and Eastern Europe
Monika Supekova, Slovak Water Management Enterprise
Anja Potokar, Limnos

GUIDELINES TO IMPROVE WATER BALANCE AND NUTRITION MITIGATION BY APPLAYING SYSTEM OF N(S)WRM





STEPS

Stakeholders

Manual on effectiveness

Cost analysis

TAKING COOPERATION FORWARD





Contribute to the improved Integrated Water Resource Management capacities for development of the Natural (Small) Water Retention Measures as part of water management planning process.

Credit: GWP CEE

GUIDELINES STARTING POINTS



- STRENGTHEN the N(S)WRM planning process and support implementation of Water Framework Directive (WFD)
- SUPPORT development of systematic approach through bottom-up dialogue
- CONNECT all the FramWat tools and support their sustainable use



Credit: GWP CEE

BACKGROUND





Water Framework Directive (WFD) obligations (preparation of third River Basin Management Plans) *and* building on already existing knowledge, sources, data

PROCESS OF PREPARATION





TAKING COOPERATION FORWARD

USERS





Water management (river basin organizations, water authorities)

Nature conservation

Agriculture, forestry and fisheries

Recreation and Tourism

🛍 Spatial planning

Policy makers

Decision makers, experts and stakeholders involved in the selection, design and implementation of NWRM as part of plans and programmes addressing water, floods, droughts biodiversity, climate change adaptation, agriculture, etc.



STEPS FOR APPLICATION OF N(S)WRM IN THE RIVER BASINS







contributing to awareness-raising and ensuring ownership



increases the likelihood of success and effectiveness

Water Framework Directive	Article 14: "Member States shall encourage the active involvement of all interested parties in the implementation of this Directive in the production, review and updating of the river basin management plans"
Floods Directive	Article 10: "Member States shall encourage active involvement of interested parties in the production, review and updating of the flood risk management plans."
Biodiversity Strategy	Section 4.1: "active involvement of civil society will be encouraged at all levels of implementation."
Common Agriculture Policy	It can play a very important role in improving the quality of rural development programmes by increasing the involvement of stakeholders in the governance of rural development as well as in informing the broader public of its benefits.

Source: A guide to support the selection, design and implementation of NWRM in Europe, 2014

ENGAGING STAKEHOLDERS





OECD Typology of level of stakeholder engagement

TAKING COOPERATION FORWARD

STEP 1: PREPARATION PHASE

Catchment identification

- Characteristic of the catchment
- Problem identification
- Catchment data

Planning stakeholder involvement

- Preparation of a Stakeholder Plan
- Set objectives for engagement
- Design the process

Defining the catchment, collecting input data and starting the process.

Planning Stakeholder engagement:

- 1) Why to engage?
- 2) Who to engage with?
- 3) What to engage about?
- 4) How to engage?



STEP 2: VALORISATION OF THE CATCHMENT



FroGIS tool

- to asses where measures are possible and needed in the catchment
- to identify the most crucial/appropriate location for NSWRM
- ... together with Stakeholders





Prioritization of the areas and building scenarios for carrying out NWRM

STEP 3: POTENTIAL MEASURES AND SCENARIOS FOR SITUATION IMPROVEMENT

CENTRAL EUROPE

How to prepare a scenarios?

- Results from FroGIS
- Expert analysis of possible measures for the location
- Interaction with stakeholders



Preparing a possible set of measures (scenarios) to improve water retention in the catchment





Comparison of the scenarios \rightarrow efficiency and combination of NWRMs:

- Static Tool
- Dynamic Models
- Manual on cumulative effectiveness of the system of NWRMs

Choosing the best scenario for improvement of water retention in the catchment

Concept plan information on type of measures, best locations and cumulative effectiveness of NWRMs in the selected river basin





Upgraded Concept Plan:

- Legislation analysis
- Multicriteria anaylsis
- Cost analysis

Concept Plan Set of measures that can improve water retention in the catchment



Action Plan clear steps, timeline, financial resources and responsible actors for integrating N(S)WRMs into RBMPs.

Manual How to asses effectiveness of the system of measures in the river basin

Supekova Monika, Slovak Water Management Enterprise



To support selection of most effective NSWRMs to:

- help regional and national decision makers and authorities to prepare RBMPs, FRMPs, compile APs for the next planning cycles
- > and facilitate consultation processes between different sectors in countries (agriculture, forestry, municipal authorities, etc.)
- choose best scenario/variant

Manual:

- is applicable tool providing set of procedures for evaluation of direct or cumulative effects of combination of NSWRMs
- using outputs from other WPs tested in pilot catchments



Manual – phases:

- Proposal of variants/scenarios of combination of NSWRMs in river basin based on NSWRMs collected in Catalogue of measures (or own measures)
- Application of:
 - GIS based method to assess cumulative effect of NSWRMs at river basin scale (Static tool)
 - dynamic water quantity and/or water quality models (hydrological and/or hydraulic models)

Static tool: to compare efficiency of variants/scenarios of combination of NSWRMs

Dynamic models: to check efficiency of variants/scenarios of combination of NSWRMs and to tune variants (if needed)

18



RESULT



Concept plan = set of NSWRMs (recommended for MCA, CA, legislation application)

- For each phase defined:
- Input requirements
- Technological requirements
- Users skills and knowledge
- Time investment
- Amount of work

User should be clear with:

- > whether he will use pre-defined values or own values
- scale of decision makers planning level (national/regional/local)
- > available input data and their level of detail
- > available experts to cooperate

Cost analysis

Anja Potokar, Limnos





OBJECTIVES:

- Development of the approach on how to calculate and analyse N(S)WRM costs on river basin scale (not CBA)
- Provides an important basis for decisions on N(S)WRM investments

PILOT TESTING: WULS, CW, MTDWD

PROCESS:



CA FOR STRUCTURAL NSWRM

STRUCTURAL (engineered) NSWRM

Cost method	Advantage	Disadvantage	Applicability
Simplified approach - cost by comparison	No cost assessment needed.	Can lead to major mistakes and poor judgement.	Not recommended.
Simplified approach cost by typical group of works	Only a rough estimation.	Needs basic design. Common use in feasibility studies. Possible mistakes.	Applicable for experts for screening or deciding which among several measure to proceed with.
Detail approach	Most accurate method.	Needs detail design and time consuming.	Applicable for experts.

WHAT KIND OF DATA DO WE NEED FOR COST ANALYSIS (EXPERT INPUT)?

- Elaboration of basic design; •
- Assessment of typical group of works; •
- Assessment of difficulty factor;
 - Assessment of preparatory and finishing works.

FramWat

CA FOR NON-STRUCTURAL NSWRM



SOIL CONVERSATION COSTS:

- derived from changes in agricultural practices
- Cost of farming:
 - fixed costs (building cost, infrastructure and machinery) and
 - variable cost (material, labour, energy, machinery).

TREE PLANTING COSTS:

- derived from planting
 - Cost of planting:
 - site preparation,
 - the plants and
 - planting.

OVERVIEW OF NON-STRUCTURAL MEASURES

WHAT KIND OF DATA DO WE NEED FOR COST ANALYSIS (EXPERT INPUT)?

location;	location;
number of hectares cultivated;	number of hectares planted;
fertilizer usage;	plant density (number of plants per hectare or kg of
herbicide usage;	seeds per hectare):
seed amounts;	plant size (depends on the age of tree):
labor (no. of working hours);	types of plants (species):
fuel for farm machinery;	way of planting (hand-planting or machine-planting):
machinery (rental cost);	plant protection (fence).

CONCLUSIONS



- Level of detail of cost assessment remains a live issue across the approach and pilot action confirmed this. A degree of pragmatism is required.
- Expert judgment: Approach requires collaboration of various experts (agriculture, forestry, hydro engineeres, economics).
- The results are not based on detailed design only indicative values for planning purposes are considered, not for project development;
- Pilot action encourages debate on how to secure political commitment and financial resources for NWRM implementation.
- Approach can be used and implemented in CE Region by policy officers, planners, water managers, etc.



SUPPORTING IMPLEMENTATION



FramWat





FramWat Pilot areas examples recommendations on implementing each step / using specific method

- Bottom up approach stakeholders are initiators
- **Quantitative aspect**s of the NWRM (cost, cumulative effectiveness, etc.) for better planning assessing and implementing NWRMs on the river basin
- Systematic /step-by-step approach supports public sector in decision making processes within RBMP

SMALL RETENTION – BIG DEAL!







anja@limnos.si